

On the properties of the vacuum expectation value in R_ξ gauge and $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge

Chungku Kim

Department of Physics, College of Natural Science, Keimyung University, Daegu 705-701, KOREA

(Dated: August 31, 2015)

We have investigated the gauge dependence of the vacuum expectation value (VEV) both in the R_ξ and the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme. We have found that, in case of the R_ξ gauge, the gauge dependence of the VEV should be modified due to the presence of the parameter in the gauge function that should be identified as a VEV in the broken symmetry phase. However the pole mass remains gauge independent.

PACS numbers: 11.15.Bt, 12.38.Bx

In case of the gauge theories where the gauge symmetry is broken spontaneously, the Lagrangian density in the broken symmetry phase L_{BS} is given from the one in the symmetric phase (L_{SYM}) as

$$L_{BS}(H, v) = [L_{SYM}(\Phi)]_{\Phi=H+v}, \quad (1)$$

where v is the vacuum expectation value (VEV). Then mixing term between the gauge boson and the Goldstone boson emerges in the quadratic part of the Lagrangian in the broken symmetry phase which can complicate the perturbation calculations for the physical processes and in order to remove this mixing term, the R_ξ gauge[1] is used usually. However, in the broken symmetry phase, the following properties were taken for granted.

(i) The gamma function of the VEV is same as that of the Higgs field ($\gamma_v = \gamma_\phi$).

(ii) $\xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} = C_\xi(v)$ where $C_\xi(v)$ is the function given by Nielsen[2].

(iii) The effective potential $V(\phi)$ at $\phi = v$ and the pole mass is gauge independent.

Recently, the renormalization properties of the VEV in case of the R_ξ gauge were investigated[3][4] in the minimal renormalization(MS) scheme. It was shown that the gamma function of the VEV (γ_v) have an additional contributions originating from the additive renormalization of the scalar field and as a result, was found to be different from that of the scalar field (γ_ϕ) in R_ξ gauge and the property (i) does not hold in this gauge. In this paper, we investigate the property (ii) and (iii) in case of broken phase of both the R_ξ gauge and the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge[5] which also remove the mixing terms in the quadratic part of the Lagrangian. Recently it was shown that the VEV obtained from the one-loop effective potential $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge satisfies the property (i)[6]. In order to see the difference between the R_ξ and $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauges, let us consider the scalar electrodynamics with the Lagrangian density in the symmetric phase

$$L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) = \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi_1 + gA_\mu\Phi_2)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi_2 - gA_\mu\Phi_1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}m^2(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2) + \frac{\lambda}{24}(\Phi_1^2 + \Phi_2^2)^2 \\ + \frac{1}{2\xi}f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)^2 + \bar{c}\frac{\delta f(A_\mu^\theta, \Phi_2^\theta)}{\delta\theta}c + \text{counter terms}, \quad (2)$$

where

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu.$$

$L_{SYM}(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$ has the local $O(2)$ symmetry is given by

$$\delta_\theta A_\mu = \partial_\mu\theta, \quad \delta_\theta\Phi_1 = \theta\Phi_2, \quad \delta_\theta\Phi_2 = -\theta\Phi_1, \quad (3)$$

except the gauge-fixing function $f(A_\mu, \Phi)$ and the resulting ghost term $\bar{c}\frac{\delta f(A_\mu^\theta, \Phi_2^\theta)}{\delta\theta}c$. When m^2 becomes negative, Φ_1 develops a VEV and the Lagrangian density in the broken phase can be obtained by substituting Φ_1 with $H+v$ where H is the Higgs field having a vanishing VEV. As a result, mixing terms $-gvA_\mu\partial_\mu\Phi_2$ emerges which can complicate the perturbation calculations for the physical processes. In the case of the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge, $f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$ is given by

$$f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) = \partial_\mu A_\mu - \xi g\Phi_1\Phi_2, \quad (4)$$

in the symmetric phase and when we replace Φ_1 by $H+v$ in the broken symmetric phase, mixing terms $-gv\Phi_2\partial_\mu A_\mu$ emerges which can remove the above mixing term. In case of the R_ξ gauge, $f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu)$ is given by

$$f(\Phi_1, \Phi_2, A_\mu) = \partial_\mu A_\mu - \xi g v \Phi_2, \quad (5)$$

in the symmetric phase and in the broken symmetry phase, we should choose $u = v$ besides replacing Φ_1 by $H + v$ in order to remove the mixing term $-gvA_\mu\partial_\mu\Phi_2$. This means that in case of the R_ξ gauge, the parameter u in the symmetric phase of the Lagrangian should be identified as VEV in the broken symmetry phase and hence we will consider these two cases separately.

A. Gauge fixing with no parameter in the symmetric phase related to VEV ($\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge)

Let us first consider the case of the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge where no parameter of the Lagrangian in the symmetric phase is related to the VEV. Then the VEV is obtained from the renormalized effective potential of the symmetric phase (V_{SYM}) as

$$\left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=v} = 0. \quad (6)$$

The renormalized effective potential of the symmetric phase (V_{SYM}) satisfy the renormalization group(RG) equation as[7]

$$DV_{SYM} + \gamma_\phi \phi \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} = 0, \quad (7)$$

where

$$D = \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu} + \beta_g \frac{\partial}{\partial g} + \beta_\lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} + \beta_{m^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial m^2} + \beta_\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}. \quad (8)$$

Then by applying the operator D to Eq.(6) and by using Eq.(7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=v} = \left[D \frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=v} + (Dv) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v} \\ &= -\gamma_\phi \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} + \phi \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v} + (Dv) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v} = (Dv - \gamma_\phi v) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and as a result we obtain[8]

$$Dv = \gamma_\phi v. \quad (10)$$

This shows that when the Lagrangian in the symmetric phase (L_{SYM}) is related to the VEV, it follows that $\gamma_v = \gamma_\phi$. Now, consider the Nielsen identity[2] in case of the symmetric phase of the gauge theory given by

$$\xi \frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \xi} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Then, by applying $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ to Eq.(6) and by using Eq.(11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=v} = - \left[\frac{\partial C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v} + \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v} \\ &= (\xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} - C_\xi(v)) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and as a result we obtain[9]

$$\xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} = C_\xi(v). \quad (13)$$

By using Eq.(11) and (13), we can see the well known that the effective potential is gauge independent at $\phi = v$ as

$$\xi \frac{d}{d\xi} V(v) = \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial V(v)}{\partial v} - C_\xi(v) \frac{\partial V(v)}{\partial v} = 0. \quad (14)$$

Now, by applying $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ to the equation that defines the pole mass M^2 as

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v, p^2=-M^2} = 0, \quad (15)$$

where Γ_{SYM} is the effective action in the symmetric phase and by using Eq.(11), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=v, p^2=-M^2} = \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=v, p^2=-M^2} + \xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2 \partial (p^2)} \right]_{\phi=v, p^2=-M^2} \\ & - \left[\frac{\partial^2 C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + 2 \frac{\partial C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=v, p^2=-M^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By using Eqs.(6),(13) and (15), we can see that only the second term of the first line survives in Eq.(16) and as a result, we obtain the gauge independence of the pole mass[2][9]

$$\xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} = 0, \quad (17)$$

which has been verified explicitly up to two-loop order in case of the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge in Ref.[5].

B. Gauge fixing with a parameter in the symmetric phase related to VEV(R_ξ gauge)

In order to see the changes in the R_ξ gauge, let us first note that since ξ, u are gauge parameters in the symmetric phase the corresponding Nielsen identities are given as[10]

$$x \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial x} + C_x(\phi) \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} = 0 \quad (x = \xi, u). \quad (18)$$

As explained below Eq.(5), we should take the gauge parameter u as VEV in the broken symmetry phase in order to remove the cross terms in the quadratic Lagrangian and as a result the effective potential in the broken symmetry phase V_{BS} is given by

$$V_{BS}(h, v) = [V_{SYM}(\phi, u)]_{u=v, \phi=h+v}. \quad (19)$$

Then the minimum condition for the VEV becomes

$$0 = \left[\frac{\partial V_{BS}(h, v)}{\partial v} \right]_{h=0} = \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial u} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = \left(1 - \frac{C_u(v)}{u} \right) \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = 0, \quad (20)$$

which gives the same condition as given in Eq.(6). In applying the RG operator D to the minimum condition for the VEV, note that the RG function for ϕ in MS scheme in the R_ξ gauge is different from the usual one and have an inhomogeneous term coming from the tadpole diagrams generated from the $\xi g u \Phi_2 \partial_\mu A_\mu$ term of the R_ξ gauge[11]. In order to see this, consider the one-loop effective potential in the symmetric phase is given by[6][9][11]

$$V_1 = -\frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln D_H^{-1} - \frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln D_G^{-1} - \frac{\hbar}{2} Tr \ln X^{-1} + \hbar Tr \ln D_g^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

where

$$D_H^{-1} = p^2 + m_H^2, \quad (22)$$

$$D_G^{-1} = p^2 + m_G^2 + \xi g^2 u^2, \quad (23)$$

$$X_{\mu\nu}^{-1} = (p^2 + m_A^2)(\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{p^2}) + \frac{D(p^2)}{m_G^2 + \xi m_A^2} \frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{\xi p^2}, \quad (24)$$

and

$$D_g^{-1} = p^2 + m_g^2, \quad (25)$$

$$D(p^2) = p^4 + (m_G^2 + 2\xi g u m_A) p^2 + \xi m_A^2 (m_G^2 + \xi g^2 u^2) \equiv (p^2 + m_+^2)(p^2 + m_-^2), \quad (26)$$

with

$$m_H^2 = m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \phi^2, m_G^2 = m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{6} \phi^2, m_A = g\phi, m_g^2 = \xi g u m_A. \quad (27)$$

By performing the one-loop momentum integral in $D \equiv 4 - 2\varepsilon$ dimension[12], we obtain the $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ divergence as

$$V_{1,div} = \frac{\hbar}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{4} m_H^4 + \frac{1}{4} m_+^4 + \frac{1}{4} m_-^4 + \frac{3}{4} m_A^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_g^4 \right\}. \quad (28)$$

The $m_+^4 + m_-^4$ term in Eq.(28) contains the ϕ and ϕ^3 term which arises due to the tadpole diagrams mentioned above. Since these terms were absent in the tree Lagrangian and hence can not be removed solely from the multiplicative renormalization, and we should choose

$$\phi_B = \sqrt{Z_\phi}(\phi + u Z_u), \quad (29)$$

which gives the RG function of the form

$$\mu \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \mu} = \gamma_\phi \phi + \delta u. \quad (30)$$

From Eq.(28), one can check that $Z_u = \frac{1}{16\pi^2\varepsilon} \xi g^2$ and by noting that $\mu \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mu} = -\varepsilon g + \dots$, we have $\delta = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \xi g^2$ at one loop order. Then the general form of the RG equation in R_ξ gauge can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & DV_{SYM} + u \gamma_u \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial u} + (\gamma_\phi \phi + \delta u) \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \\ &= DV_{SYM} + (\gamma_\phi \phi + \delta u - C_u \gamma_u) \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where D is given in Eq.(8) and we have used Eq.(18) to obtain the second line of above equation.

When we apply the operator D to the minimum condition as in Eq.(6), we should note the fact that VEV comes out not only from ϕ but also from u so that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = \left[D \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} + (Dv) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial u \partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} \\ &= - \left[\gamma_\phi \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + (\gamma_\phi \phi + \delta u - C_u \gamma_u) \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v} + (Dv) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial u \partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Then, by using Eq.(20) and $x = u$ case of Eq.(18), we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial u \partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = - \frac{C_u(v)}{v} \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v}, \quad (33)$$

and from Eq.(32) we obtain

$$Dv = \frac{(\gamma_\phi + \delta)v - C_u(v)\gamma_u}{1 - C_u(v)/v}. \quad (34)$$

As γ_ϕ , δ , C_u and γ_u are one-loop quantities, the resulting one-loop terms in the above equation are $(\gamma_\phi + \delta)v$ and by noting that $\gamma_\phi = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} (3 - \xi)g^2$ [13][14] and with the result $\delta = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \xi g^2$ given above, we can see that this agrees with[3][4]. Beyond the two loop order, our result of Dv will be different from [3][4] due to the $C_u(v)$ dependent terms. Now, let us consider the gauge dependence of the VEV in R_ξ gauge. By applying $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ to Eq.(6) which is same condition as (20) and by using Eq.(18) and Eq.(33), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} &= - \left[\frac{\partial C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v} + \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi \partial u} \right]_{\phi=u=v} \\ &= - C_\xi(v) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v} + \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} (1 - C_u(v)/v) \left[\frac{\partial^2 V_{SYM}(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

from which we obtain

$$\xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} = \frac{C_\xi(v)}{1 - C_u(v)/v}. \quad (36)$$

so that the gauge dependence of the VEV is different from that of $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge given in Eq.(13). Since the $C_u(v)$ dependent terms emerges from the one loop, the result of Eq.(36) will be different from the property(ii) beyond the two loop order. The total derivative of the effective potential $V(\phi)$ at $\phi = v$ with respect to ξ becomes

$$\xi \frac{d}{d\xi} V_{BS}(v) = \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial u} \right]_{\phi=u=v} - C_\xi(v) \left[\frac{\partial V_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} \right]_{\phi=u=v} = 0. \quad (37)$$

By using $x = u$ case of Eq.(18) and Eq.(20), we can see that the effective potential is gauge independent when $\phi = v$ as in case of $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge.

The gauge dependence of the VEV played an important role in showing the gauge independence of the pole mass in case of the $\overline{R}_\xi$ and since it has changed as in Eq.(36) in case of the R_ξ gauge, it will be necessarily to investigate whether the pole mass remains gauge independent in this case. It was shown that the one-loop pole mass is gauge invariant in R_ξ gauge Ref.[9,10,15] and let us consider the problem of the gauge independence of the higher order pole mass in the broken symmetry phase of the R_ξ gauge. By applying $\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}$ to the defining equation of the pole mass in the broken symmetry phase as in Eq.(16) and by using Eqs.(6),(15) and (36), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} = \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} + \frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2 \partial u} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} + \xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2 \partial (p^2)} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{\partial^2 C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + 2 \frac{\partial C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} \\ &= \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} - \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\partial^2 C_u(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + 2 \frac{\partial C_u(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + C_u(\phi) \frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right) \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} + \xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2 \partial (p^2)} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} \\ &\quad - \left[\frac{\partial^2 C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi^2} \frac{\partial \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi} + 2 \frac{\partial C_\xi(\phi)}{\partial \phi} \frac{\partial^2 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2} + C_\xi(\phi) \frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} \\ &= \xi \frac{\partial v}{\partial \xi} \left(1 - \frac{C_u(v)}{v} \right) \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} + \xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi} \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^2 \partial (p^2)} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} - C_\xi(v) \left[\frac{\partial^3 \Gamma_{SYM}}{\partial \phi^3} \right]_{\phi=u=v, p^2=-M^2} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

By using Eq.(36), we can see that $\xi \frac{\partial M^2}{\partial \xi}$ vanishes and hence pole mass is a gauge independent in broken symmetry phase of the R_ξ gauge.

In conclusion, we have investigated the difference in choice of the gauge whether the Lagrangian density have parameter that has any relation to the VEV in the $\overline{MS}$ scheme. In case of the $\overline{R}_\xi$ gauge where the Lagrangian density do not have parameter which has a relation to the VEV, the gamma function of VEV is same as that of the Higgs field and the pole mass is gauge independent. In case of the broken symmetry phase of the R_ξ gauge, the gamma function of the VEV is different from that of the Higgs field due to the presence of the parameter in the gauge function that should be identified as a VEV in the broken symmetry phase. Although this was known previously[3][4], our result is different from their results beyond the two loop order. The gauge parameter dependence of the VEV also needs modification from the property(ii). However the pole mass remains gauge independent.

-
- [1] K. Fujikawa, B.W. Lee and A.I. Sanda, Phys. Rev. D6 (1972) 2923; Y.P. Yao, Phys. Rev. D7 (1973) 1647.
 - [2] N. K. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. B101 (1975) 173.
 - [3] M. Sperling, D. Stockinger, A.Voigt, JHEP 1307 (2013) 132.
 - [4] M. Sperling, D. Stockinger, A.Voigt, JHEP 1401 (2014) 068.
 - [5] B. Kastening, Phys. Rev. D51, (1995) 265.
 - [6] C. Kim, Phys. Rev. D90,067701 (2014).
 - [7] C. Ford, D. R. T. Jones, P. W. Stephenson and M. B. Einhorn, Nucl. Phys. B395 (1993) 17.
 - [8] C. Kim, J. Kor. Phys. Soc. 62,1097 (2013).
 - [9] I. J. R. Aitchison and C. M. Fraser, Ann. Phys. 156, (1984) 1.
 - [10] A. F. de lima and D. Bazeia, Zeitschrift fur Phys. C45, (1990) 471.
 - [11] W. Loinaz and R. S. Willey, Phys. Rev. D56, (1997) 7416.

- [12] See for example, M. E. Peskin and D. V. Schroeder, , *An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1995).
- [13] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **222** (1983) 83.
- [14] M. E. Machacek and M. T. Vaughn, Nucl. Phys. B **249** (1985) 70.
- [15] D. Johnston, Nucl. Phys. B **253** (1985) 687.